



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,791	07/23/2003	Trung Tri Doan	MI22-2355	1206
21567	7590 06/15/2005		EXAMINER	
WELLS ST. JOHN P.S.			ELEY, TIMOTHY V	
601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
bi Old ii v.	771 77201		3724	

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Comment		10/626,791	DOAN, TRUNG TRI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Timothy V. Eleý	3724				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a red period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirt of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)		nis action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 81-110 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 85-87 and 92-94 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>81-84,88-91 and 95-110</u> is/are reje	cted.					
7)∐ e\□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Var alaction requirement					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	izor election requirement.					
	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10)∟	)) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***	· ·				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• • • •				
		Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of John F10-192.				
Priority I	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		•				
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		received in this National Stage				
* (	application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li		received				
`	see the attached detailed Office action for a if	st of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachmen	• •	_					
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Infor	re of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date ##13/23, 12/15/23, 1/28/24, 19	(8) 5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3724

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because "and" (page 11, line 11) should be --an--.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 81-84,88-91, and 95-110 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-13,16,27,31, and 42-45 of U.S. Patent No. 6,254,928. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use at least one precursor as a liquid. Also, the claims in the instant application appear to broaden the invention

Art Unit: 3724

by eliminating certain limitations recited in the Patent. However, to remove some of the method limitations would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art as long as usable particles may still be formed.

### Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - The cited prior art discloses methods of forming particles.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V. Eley whose telephone number is 571-272-4506. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,791

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy V Eley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724 Page 4